

### Lecture 15

**Assessing Models** 

Slides created by John DeNero (<u>denero@berkeley.edu</u>) and Ani Adhikari (<u>adhikari@berkeley.edu</u>) Contributions by Fahad Kamran (<u>fhdkmrn@berkeley.edu</u>) and Vinitra Swamy (<u>vinitra@berkeley.edu</u>)

### Announcements

### **A Statistic**

# Terminology

#### Statistical Inference

Making conclusions based on data in random samples

#### • Parameter

- A number associated with the population
- Statistic
  - A number calculated from the sample

A statistic can be used to **estimate** a parameter, or to **test hypotheses** about the process that generated the data

# **Simulating a Statistic**

- Figure out the code to generate *one* value of the statistic
- Create an empty array in which you will collect all the simulated values
- For each repetition of the process:
  - Simulate one value of the statistic
  - Append this value to the collection array
- At the end of all the repetitions, the collection array will contain all the simulated values



# **Probability Distribution of a Statistic**

- Values of a statistic vary because random samples vary
- "Sampling distribution" or "probability distribution" of the statistic
  - All possible values of the statistic,
  - and all the corresponding probabilities
- Can be hard to calculate
  - Either have to do the math,
  - or have to generate all possible samples and calculate the statistic based on each sample

# **Empirical Distribution of a Statistic**

- Empirical distribution of the statistic
  - Based on simulated values of the statistic
  - Consists of all the observed values of the statistic,
  - and the proportion of times each value appeared
- Good approximation to the probability distribution of the statistic
  - if the number of repetitions in the simulation is large

## **Testing Hypotheses**

# **Choosing One of Two Viewpoints**

- Based on data
  - "Chocolate has no effect on cardiac disease."
  - "Yes, it does."
  - "This jury panel was selected at random from eligible jurors."
  - "No, it has too many people with college degrees."

## **Assessing Models**

### **Models**

- A model is a set of assumptions about the data
- In data science, many models involve assumptions about processes that involve randomness
   "Chance models"

## **Approach to Assessment**

- If we can simulate data according to the assumptions of the model, we can learn what the model predicts.
- We can then compare the predictions to the data that were observed.

• If the data and the model's predictions are not consistent, that is evidence against the model.

## How to Compare Predictions to Data

- When we simulate data according to the assumptions of the model, we need an easy representation of this data
- We calculate a statistic on each simulated sample
- We then calculate the statistic on our observed data
- Check whether our observed statistic and our simulated statistics are consistent
- The difficulty is in picking the statistic
  - Either large statistics or small statistics should be evidence against your model
  - The statistic chosen must help us distinguish between our model and any other alternative viewpoint

## **Jury Selection**

## Swain vs. Alabama, 1965

- Talladega County, Alabama
- Robert Swain, black man convicted of crime
- Appeal: one factor was all-white jury
- Only men 21 years or older were allowed to serve
- 26% of this population were black
- Swain's jury panel consisted of 100 men
- 8 men on the panel were black

# **Supreme Court Ruling**

- About disparities between the percentages in the eligible population and the jury panel, the Supreme Court wrote:
- "... the overall percentage disparity has been small and reflects no studied attempt to include or exclude a specified number of Negroes"
- The Supreme Court denied Robert Swain's appeal

# **Sampling from a Distribution**

• Sample at random from a categorical distribution

sample\_proportions(sample\_size, pop\_distribution)

Samples at random from the population
 Returns an array containing the distribution of the categories in the sample

#### (Demo)



### **A Genetic Model**

# **Steps in Assessing a Model**

- Come up with a statistic that will help you decide whether the data support the model or an alternative view of the world.
- Simulate the statistic under the assumptions of the model.
- Draw a histogram of the simulated values. This is the model's prediction for how the statistic should come out.
- Compute the observed statistic from the sample in the study.
- Compare this value with the histogram.
- If the two are not consistent, that's evidence against the model.

### **Gregor Mendel, 1822-1884**



### A Model

- Pea plants of a particular kind
- Each one has either purple flowers or white flowers
- Mendel's model:
  - Each plant is purple-flowering with chance 75%,
  - regardless of the colors of the other plants
- Question:
  - Is the model good, or not?

# **Choosing a Statistic**

- Start with percent of purple-flowering plants in sample
- If that percent is much larger or much smaller than 75, that is evidence against the model
- **Distance** from 75 is the key
- Statistic:

| sample percent of purple-flowering plants - 75 |

If the statistic is large, that is evidence against the model
 (Demo)

### **Discussion Questions**

In each of (a) and (b), choose a statistic that will help you decide between the two viewpoints.

Data: the results of 400 tosses of a coin

(a)

- "This coin is fair."
- "No, it's not."

(b)

- "This coin is fair."
- "No, it's biased towards tails."



For both (a) and (b),

- The number of heads in the 400 tosses is a good starting point, but might need adjustment
- A number of heads around 200 suggests "fair"



(a) Very large or very small values of the number of heads suggest "not fair."

- The distance between number of heads and 200 is the key
- Statistic: | number of heads 200 |
- Large values of the statistic suggest "not fair"

(b) Small values of the number of heads suggest "biased towards tails"

• Statistic: number of heads

## **Comparing Distributions**

## **Jury Selection in Alameda County**

A Report by the ACLU of Northern California

October 2010

# **Jury Panels**



Section 197 of California's Code of Civil Procedure says, "All persons selected for jury service shall be selected at random, from a source or sources inclusive of a representative cross section of the population of the area served by the court."

(Demo)

### **Two Viewpoints**

### **Model and Alternative**

- Model:
  - The people on the jury panels were selected at random from the eligible population
- Alternative viewpoint:
  No, they weren't

### **A New Statistic**

### **Distance Between Distributions**

- People on the panels are of multiple ethnicities
- Distribution of ethnicities is categorical
- To see whether the the distribution of ethnicities of the panels is close to that of the eligible jurors, we have to measure the distance between two categorical distributions

#### (Demo)

## **Total Variation Distance**

Every distance has a computational recipe

**Total Variation Distance** (TVD):

- For each category, compute the difference in proportions between two distributions
- Take the absolute value of each difference
- Sum, and then divide the sum by 2

(Demo)



# **Summary of the Method**

To assess whether a sample was drawn randomly from a known categorical distribution:

- Use TVD as the statistic because it measures the distance between categorical distributions
- Sample at random from the population and compute the TVD from the random sample; repeat numerous times
- Compare:
  - Empirical distribution of simulated TVDs
  - Actual TVD from the sample in the study