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Confidence Intervals

- Situation: we want to use a sample to estimate a parameter of interest.
- First step: we come up with an estimator/statistic to estimate the parameter.
  - For example, sample mean to estimate population mean.
- Problem: taking a sample (usually) involves randomness! How do we know how good our estimate is?
- Idea: take many samples, see how much the estimate varies.
Confidence Intervals

- This gave us the **sampling distribution** of the estimator
- What’s the problem?
  - We usually don’t have the whole population to re-sample from
  - Resampling is expensive and timely
Confidence Intervals

- Solution: assume our sample has a similar make-up to the population (the sample is representative of the population)
- Resample (with replacement) from the original sample
  - Our resamples will be the same size as the original sample
  - Bonus question: why does it have to be *with* replacement?
- Compute the same statistic/estimate for each resample
- This gives us an approximation to the true sampling distribution!
- This process is often called the *bootstrap*
Confidence Intervals

- Let’s see an example where we want to estimate the average height in the population!
- Suppose we have a sample of 100 heights in a table called `samp`

```
In [32]: samp.show(5)
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>heights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77.7092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.3796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.3899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.9447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.4166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

... (95 rows omitted)
Confidence Intervals

#Create a collector array to store all the simulated values
boot_means = make_array()

#For each repition of the process: (we recommend you usually run an iteration 10,000 times)
for i in np.arange(10000):
    #Generate a new sample using the Bootstrap, the sample method has with_replacement=True
    #as default and samples the size of the table if no arguments are passed in
    new_sample = samp.sample()

    #calculate the value of the statistic based on the new sample
    curr_mean = np.mean(new_sample.column(0))

    #Append this value to your collection array
    boot_means = np.append(boot_means, curr_mean)
Confidence Intervals

```python
boot_means_dist = Table().with_column('sample mean', boot_means)
boot_means_dist.hist()
```
Confidence Intervals

- Great! Now we have an idea of how our estimate varies when we take different random samples.
- Plots are awesome, but sometimes we want a more concise summary. That’s where **confidence intervals** come in.
- Idea: give a range of likely values for our estimate.
- Often we pick the **middle 95% of our data**.
  - How? Use the **percentile** function!
Confidence Intervals

In [28]:
   
   print(percentile(2.5, boot_means.column(0)))
   print(percentile(97.5, boot_means.column(0)))
   
   63.9451909844  
   66.1985550428

- Conclusion: “we are 95% confident that the population mean is between 63.945 and 66.199″
Interpretation of Confidence Intervals

- There is not a 95% chance that the true population parameter is in our calculated 95% confidence interval
  - It either is or is not
- It does also not tell us anything about the whole population
  - Just the population parameter we're attempting to estimate
- If we repeat the idea of making 95% confidence interval many times, we expect 95% of them to contain the true population parameter
  - We will never actually know, as we don’t know the population parameter
- The larger our confidence, the larger the interval
  - An 80% confidence interval is contained inside of a 90% interval
Hypothesis testing via confidence intervals

- Suppose we have a hypothesis test at the 0.05 level:
  - Null: population mean = 50
  - Alternative: population mean ≠ 50
- Construct a 95% confidence interval for the population mean
- Reject the null if confidence interval does not contain 50
- Motivation: confidence interval contains set of "plausible" values for population parameter. If 50 is not a plausible value for the parameter, the hypothesis that the parameter is 50 is likely misguided
- Confidence level of interval should reflect significance level of test. e.g. For test at 0.01 level, use 99% confidence interval
  - Why is this important?
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Center and Spread

- **Ways to measure the center**
  - **Mean**: Sensitive to outliers
  - **Median**: Not so sensitive to outliers

- **Ways to measure the spread**
  - **Standard deviation**: Root mean square of deviations from the average
  - **Variance**: $SD^2$ (Mean square of deviations from the average)
## Center and Spread: Normal Distribution

- **Normal distribution:**
  - Bell shaped
  - Center and spread tells us useful information about the normal curve
  - Compared with Chebyshev bounds, these are much stronger!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent in Range</th>
<th>All Distributions: Bound</th>
<th>Normal Distribution: Approximation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>average ± 1 SD</td>
<td>at least 0%</td>
<td>about 68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average ± 2 SDs</td>
<td>at least 75%</td>
<td>about 95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average ± 3 SDs</td>
<td>at least 88.888...%</td>
<td>about 99.73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Central Limit Theorem

The probability distribution of the sum (or average) of a large random sample drawn with replacement will be roughly normal, regardless of the distribution of the population from which the sample is drawn.
Central Limit Theorem

Distribution of Original Sample

Distribution of Sample Means
Conditions for the Central Limit Theorem

1. You’re taking **random samples** from a population.
2. The sample size is kinda **large**.
3. The statistic you’re computing is the **sum/average** or some variant.
4. You’re looking at the **probability distribution** of the statistic (or a **valid approximation** of it).

**Not** conditions:

1. The population must have a Normal distribution
   a. If this were necessary, the theorem really wouldn’t be worth remembering!
2. The sample size has to be large **relative to the population size**.
   a. No need, that’s the magic of sampling!
3. You are trying to estimate the population mean
   a. All that matters is the estimator, not your interpretation of it!
Variability of the Sample Mean

- Imagine sampling, many times, and calculating the mean of our sample to get a rough picture of what the population mean is.
- Want to measure the **standard deviation of all possible sample means**
  - Measure how far off sample means are from the population mean
  - Also interpreted as the accuracy of the sample mean
    - Does smaller SD of the means point to more or less accuracy?

\[
\text{SD of all possible sample means} = \frac{\text{Population SD}}{\sqrt{\text{sample size}}}
\]

- If you can’t get the population SD, use some approximation of it
- Notice that there’s no talk about the number of bootstrap repetitions
Variability of the Sample Mean

What happens as we change the sample size?
Central Limit Theorem

The CLT states that the probability distribution of the sample mean is roughly normal, centered at the population mean, with SD equal to the formula below:

$$\text{SD of all possible sample means} = \frac{\text{Population SD}}{\sqrt{\text{sample size}}}$$